

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,722	07/30/2003	Ho II Hwang	1508.1020 7530	
21171	7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			DOAN, ROBYN KIEU	
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		3732	· -

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,722	HWANG, HO IL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robyn Doan	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>30 July 2003</u> .	•	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		١
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		oplication No.	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of th			je
application from the International E			,
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) VMail Date	
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ 	SB/08) 5) Notice of In)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/09/03</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>. </u>	

Application/Control Number: 10/629,722

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al (2004/0000317) in view of Witkowski (6594927) and Song (6716487).

With regard to claims 1-6, Takahashi discloses an artificial fingernail (figs. 1 and 4) comprising a decorative element (50) being attached to a lower back end (28, bottom) of the artificial fingernail (paragraph 68, lines 3-4). Takahashi does not disclose the decorative element being a glitter sticker with first, second and third layers, the glitter having 4-8 wt% of the glitter based on 100 wt% of acrylic emulsion glue; and an adhesive of the sticker being acrylic emulsion glue and PVC solvent. Witkowski discloses a glitter sticker (col. 12, lines 9-10) attaching to a fingernail (col. 12, lines 50-53) comprising first, second and third layers (37, 36, 40 fig. 3) and the second layer (36) including glitter. Song discloses an adhesion sticker including acrylic emulsion glue and PVC solvent comprising 70-80 % of acrylic emulsion glue and 20-30% of PVC solvent using by a silkscreen-printing process (col. 3, line 43- col. 4, line 67). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

employ the glitter sticker as taught by Witkowski into the artificial nail of Takahashi for the purpose of providing of decorative to the artificial fingernail, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the glitter having 4-8 wt% of the glitter based on 100 wt% of acrylic emulsion glue, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of the component and it would also have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the adhesion as taught by Song into the artificial fingernail of Takahashi and Witkowski for the purpose of providing excellent bonding and yet maintaining its elasticity as well.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kim, Chang, Jang are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a decorative artificial nail and ornamental sticker using acrylic adhesion.

The drawings filed 07/30/03 have been approved by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,722

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan April 13, 2005

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner